BEFORE THE **DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY** MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS** STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:))
LARRY ECKSTEIN, M.D.) File No. 16-2005-170559
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 29690)))
Respondent.)))
This Decision shall become effective	e at 5:00 p.m. on June 16, 2006
IT IS SO ORDEREDJune 9, 2	2006
	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
	// 1 4 + $+$ mi

By: Cesar A. Aristeiguieta, M.D., Chair

Panel A

Division of Medical Quality

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	JOSE R. GUERRERO	
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092	
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
٦	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
5	San Francisco, California 94102	
	Telephone: (415) 703-5552	
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE T	HE
10	DIVISION OF MEDIC MEDICAL BOARD OF	
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF CON	
11	STATE OF CAL	
12		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 16-2005-170559
13		
1.4	Larry Eckstein, M.D.	CTIDIH ATION AND ODDED FOR
14	2760 29 th Street, Ste.2-D Boulder, CO 80301	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SURRENDER OF LICENSE
15	Bouldon, Co obsor	SCIUM (BER OF EIGER)
	(address of record)	
16	Physician's & Surgeon's License No. G 29690	
17	1 Trysletairs & Burgeon's Electise 140. G 25050	
1.0	Respondent.	
18		
19		
20	In the interest of a prompt and speedy	resolution of the above entitled action that is
20	consistent with the public interest and the responsibi	lity of the Medical Board of California
21		
22	(hereinafter the "Board"), the parties hereby agree to	o the following Stipulated Surrender of
	License and Order ("Stipulation") which will be submitted to the Board for approval and	
23	adoption as the final disposition of Accusation No. 1	6-2005-170559 ("Accusation") pending in
24	_	, i = 1
25	this matter.	
23	1. David T. Thornton, (Complain	nant) is the Executive Director of the
26	· -	·
27	Medical Board of California. He brought this action	solely in his official capacity and is
<i>- 1</i>	range anted in this matter by Rill Lockwar, Attornay	General of the State of California and have

Susan K. Meadows, Deputy Attorney General. A true and correct copy of the Accusation is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A.

- 2. Respondent, Larry Eckstein, M.D., is represented in this proceeding by attorney, Jonathan L. Miller, Law Offices of Jonathan L. Miller, whose address of record is 1790 30th Street, Suite 280, Boulder, CO 80301.
- 3. On or about July 1, 1975, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 29690 to respondent. Respondent's license is renewed and current with an expiration date of April 30, 2007. On August 11, 1998, an Accusation in Case No. 06-95-53471 was filed against respondent by the Board. That Accusation was resolved on May 11, 2000, when respondent was publicly reprimanded by the Board for failing to maintain adequate records.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 4. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Accusation. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 5. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.
- 7. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, would constitute cause for disciplinary action against his physician's and surgeon's license by the Board and agrees to the Order set forth below.

- 8. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he is enabling the Board to issue its order accepting the surrender of his license without further process. He understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation for surrender, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel.
- 9. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals. If respondent chooses to provide a facsimile signature, respondent agrees that he shall provide the original signatures to the Stipulation to complainant's counsel within 5 (five) days from the date respondent signed the Stipulation.
- 10. In consideration of the foregoing recitals, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 29690 issued to respondent, Larry Eckstein, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

- 11. Upon acceptance of the stipulation by the Board, respondent understands that he will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician and surgeon in California, and agrees to surrender and cause to be immediately delivered to the Board or its designee both his license and wallet certificate before the effective date of the decision.
- 12. Respondent fully understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked license, except that respondent will be permitted to petition for reinstatements of his license two years after the effective date of the Decision. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed. For purposes of the reinstatement proceeding only, and not for purposes of any other proceeding, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 16 2005 170559 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition. Respondent further agrees that, at the petition for reinstatement hearing, the Board may consider all of the

investigative materials relating to Accusation Case No. 16 2005 170559. 1 2 13. This stipulation and surrender of license shall be subject to the approval of the Board. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its decision in this matter, it shall have no 3 4 force or effect on either party. 5 **ACCEPTANCE** 6 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Jonathan L. Miller. I understand the stipulation and the 8 effect it will have on my license. By signing this stipulation, I recognize that upon its formal 9 acceptance by the Board, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a physician and 10 surgeon in the State of California. Tenter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the 11 12 Board. I will cause to be delivered to the Board both my license and wallet certificate before the 13 effective date of the decision. DATED: 5/5/06 14 15 16 17 Respondent 18 I have read and fully discussed with respondent the terms and conditions and other 19 matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and 20 content. 21 DATED: 5/5/06 22 2 hill-23 24 Law Offices of Jonathan L. Miller 25 Attorney for Respondent 26 // 27

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board.

DATED: 5/24/06.

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

SUSAN K. MEADOWS Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A: Accusation No. 16-2005-170559

1 2 3 4 5	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN K. MEADOWS Deputy Attorney General [115092] 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5552 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant	
7 8 9	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:) Case No. 16-2005-170559	
12	Larry Eckstein, M.D., 2760 29 th Street, Ste. 2-D ACCUSATION	
13	Boulder, CO 80301	
14	Address of Record	
15	Physician and Surgeon's	
16	Certificate No. G-29690)	
17	Respondent.)	
18		
19	The Complainant alleges:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. Complainant David T. Thornton is the Executive Director of the Medical	
22	Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official	
23	capacity.	
24	2. On or about July 1, 1975, Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 2969	90
25	was issued by the Board to Larry Eckstein, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"). Respondent's	
26	certificate is renewed and current with an expiration date of April 30, 2007. On August 11,	
27	1998, an Accusation was filed against respondent by the Board. That Accusation was resolved	
	•	

6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

on May 11, 2000, when respondent was publicly reprimanded by the Board for failing to maintain adequate records. A true and correct copy of the Board's Decision and Order which was effective May 11, 2000 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

JURISDICTION

- This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the 3. Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") and/or other relevant statutory enactment:
 - Section 2227 of the Code provides in part that the Board may revoke, A. suspend for a period of not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act, and may recover the costs of probation monitoring if probation is imposed.
 - Section 2305 of the Code provides, in part, that the revocation, suspension, В. or other discipline, restriction or limitation imposed by another state upon a license to practice medicine issued by that state, that would have been grounds for discipline in California under the Medical Practice Act, constitutes grounds for discipline for unprofessional conduct.
 - Section 141 of the Code provides: C.
 - For any licensee holding a license issued by a board under the "(a) jurisdiction of a department, a disciplinary action taken by another state, by any agency of the federal government, or by another country for any act substantially related to the practice regulated by the California license, may be a ground for disciplinary action by the respective state licensing board. A certified copy of the record of the disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or by another country shall be conclusive evidence of the events related therein."
 - Nothing in this section shall preclude a board from applying a "(b) specific statutory provision in the licensing act administered by the board that provides

2.4

2.6

for discipline based upon a disciplinary action taken against the licensee by another state, an agency of the federal government, or another country."

4. Respondent is subject to discipline within the meaning of section 141 of the Code and is guilty of unprofessional conduct and subject to disciplinary action within the meaning of section 2305 of the Code as more particularly set forth herein below.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Discipline, Restriction, or Limitation Imposed by Another State)

- 5. On or about August 11, 2005, the Colorado State Board of Medical Examiners issued a Letter of Admonition regarding respondent's license to practice medicine in Colorado. The basis for this action was the prescribing of medications, including narcotics, to a patient who had relocated to the state of Virginia without personally seeing the patient for clinical evaluation and follow-up. Respondent was encouraged to consider additional education regarding professional boundaries and appropriate prescribing practices.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Letter of Admonition dated August 11, 2005.
- 7. Respondent's conduct and the action of the Colorado State Board of Medical Examiners, as set forth in paragraph 5, above, constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action within the meaning of section 2305 of the Code, and/or conduct subject to discipline within the meaning of section 141(a) of the Code.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 29690 heretofore issued to respondent Larry Eckstein, M.D.;
- 2. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the Division; and,
 - 3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of the respondent's authority to

- 1	
1	supervise physician assistants; and,
2	4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and
3	proper.
4	DATED: February 9, 2006
5	
6	
7	A A
8	DAVID T. THORNTON
9	Executive Director Medical Board of California
10	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
11	State of Samorana
12	Complainant
13	
14	Eckstein.Acc
15	
16	
17	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)
LARRY ECKSTEIN, M.D.) MEDICAL ROADD 055034MORNIA I do hereby certify that this document is a true
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate #G-29690	I do hereby certify that this document is a true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.
Respondent.	Title January Date

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Agreement is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 11, 2000

IT IS SO ORDERED April 11, 2000

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Anabel Anderson Imbert, M.D.

Chair, Panel A

Division of Medical Quality

1	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California
2	ELISA B. WOLFE (State Bar No. 120357) Deputy Attorney General
3	California Department of Justice
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1233
5	Telephone: (213) 897-2555
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
,	BEFORE THE
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 06-95-53471 Against:
12) OAH No. L-1999020333 LARRY ECKSTEIN, M.D.
13	3434 47 th Street, Suite 250) Boulder, Colorado 80301)
14	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate) AGREEMENT
15	No. G29690,)
16	Respondent.)
17	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between RON
19	JOSEPH ("complainant") and LARRYECKSTEIN, M.D. ("respondent") that
20	the following matters are true:
21	
	PARTIES AND JURISDICTION
22	
23	1. Complainant is the Executive Director of the Medical
24	Board of California ("Board"). As Executive Director, complainant

is empowered to bring accusations for disciplinary action against

licensees of the Board and to enter into agreements in resolution

of charges set forth in accusations.

26

- 2. Complainant is represented in this matter by BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California, by and through Elisa B. Wolfe, Deputy Attorney General.
- 3. At all times relevant herein, respondent has held Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G29690, issued by the Medical Board of California. Currently, respondent's physician's and surgeon's certificate is in an inactive status.
- 4. Respondent is represented in this matter by Mark A. Levin, Esq., of the Law Offices of Lewin & Levin, A Partnership of Professional Corporations, whose address is 1925 Century Park East, Suite 850, Los Angeles, California 90067-2709.
- 5. On or about August 11, 1998, complainant, in his official capacity and not otherwise, filed with the Board's Division of Medical Quality ("Division") an accusation bearing Board Case No. 06-95-53471. Said accusation is currently pending against Larry Eckstein, M.D., the respondent named therein. A true and correct copy of the Accusation on file in Board Case No. 06-95-53471 is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 6. On or about August 31, 1998, the Accusation in Board Case No. 06-95-53471, together with all statutorily required documents, was duly served on the respondent. On or about September 16, 1998, respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

2.2

RECITALS, ADVISEMENTS & WAIVERS

- 7. Respondent and his attorney have fully read and discussed the charges contained in the Accusation on file in Board Case No. 06-95-53471. Respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this Agreement.
- 8. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations could constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, court review, and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 9. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each and every one of these rights.
- 10. With respect to the matters alleged in the Accusation on file on Board Case No. 06-95-53471, respondent agrees that he did not maintain adequate medical records as to patient L.C. and that such conduct constitutes sufficient cause for license discipline. Respondent further agrees that the Division has jurisdiction for issuing an order and concomitant decision adopting the parties' resolution of this matter. Respondent agrees to be bound by the terms of the Division's decision and order, infra.

12. Based on the foregoing stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT respondent LARRY ECKSTEIN, M.D., is publicly reprimanded for failing to maintain adequate medical records.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, within six (6) months of the effective date of this Order, respondent shall reimburse the Division the sum of \$1500.00 for its costs of investigation and prosecution in the above-entitled matter. Any failure by respondent to reimburse the Division fully and timely shall constitute a violation of the Division's Order, unless, in light of persuasive evidence of financial hardship, the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a revised payment plan. The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigation and prosecution costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT if respondent ever applies to the Medical Board of California for a reactivation of his physician's and surgeon's certificate, then respondent shall be required to satisfy successfully and timely the conditions listed below, prior to a reactivation of his certificate. If respondent fails to successfully or timely satisfy the ensuing conditions,

then his application for reactivation shall be denied. The conditions which respondent must satisfy, prior to a reactivation of his physician's and surgeon's certificate, are as follows:

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

Within 90 days of the CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM: Medical Board's receipt of respondent's written application to reactivate his physician's and surgeon's certificate, respondent shall submit to the Medical Board or its designee, for its prior approval, a clinical training or educational program such as the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education ("PACE") Program offered by the School of Medicine at the University of California, The exact number of hours San Diego, or other equivalent program. and specific content of the program shall be determined by the Medical Board or its designee. Respondent shall successfully and timely complete the clinical training program, and thereby shall comply with all clinical training program requirements, and shall be required to pass an examination related to the program's contents, to be administered by the Medical Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay the costs of all clinical training or educational programs.

Respondent shall complete the clinical training program within 270 days of his written request to reactivate his physician's and surgeon's certificate, unless the Medical Board or its designee agrees in writing to additional time.

If respondent fails to successfully and timely complete the clinical training program, then his application for reactivation of his physician's and surgeon's certificate shall be denied. Also, respondent's failure to successfully or timely

complete the clinical training program may be deemed unprofessional conduct in any future proceeding before the Medical Board, or any of its Divisions.

2. PAYMENT OF ANY AMOUNTS DUE AND OWING: Within 90 days of the Medical Board's receipt of respondent's written application to reactivate his physician's and surgeon's certificate, respondent shall tender to the Medical Board or its designee any unpaid portion of the \$1500.00 sum for the Division's costs of investigation and prosecution of Board Case No. 06-95-53471.

If respondent fails to fully and timely pay said sums, then his application for reactivation of his physician's and surgeon's certificate shall be denied. Also, respondent's failure to fully or timely pay said sums may be deemed unprofessional conduct in any future proceeding before the Medical Board, or any of its Divisions.

CONTINGENCY

This Agreement shall be subject to the approval of the Division of Medical Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this Agreement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this Agreement as its Order in this matter, the agreement itself shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this agreement.

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Agreement. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney, Mark A. Levin, Esq. I understand the effect this Agreement will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this Agreement freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.

9 DATED: 11/3/99

LARRY ECKSTEIN, M.D.

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Agreement with respondent Larry Eckstein, M.D., and approve of its form and content.

DATED: <u>M/1/99</u>

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Agreement is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: 12-31-99 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

1	" III GOOTING GOILGE GE
2	TATE OF ALL MONAGE
3	California Department of Justice MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1233 Telephone: (213) 897-2555 SACRAMENTO August 11 19 98 BY Stederics C. Hausen ANALYST
5	
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	BEFORE THE
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 06-95-53471
12	Against:)
13	LARRY ECKSTEIN, M.D.) ACCUSATION 3065 Center Green Drive, Suite 110)
14	Boulder, Colorado 80301)
15	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate) No. G29690,)
16	Respondent.)
17)
18	The Complainant alleges:
19	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>
21	1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") brings this accusation
22	solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the
23	Medical Board of California ("Board").
24	2. On or about July 1, 1975, the Board issued Physician
25	and Surgeon's Certificate No. G29690 to Larry Eckstein, M.D.
26	("respondent"). At all times relevant to the charges brought
27	herein, this license has been in full force and effect.

JURISDICTION AND LEGAL AUTHORITY

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality ("Division") of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code").
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
- 5. Section 2234 of the Code provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
 - (c) Repeated negligent acts.
 - (d) Incompetence.

- (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - (f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

- of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct.
- 7. Section 2266 of the Code provides that the failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 8. Section 2264 of the Code states that, "The employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any unlicensed person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicensed practitioner to engage in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- 9. Section 2242, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that, "Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4211 [repealed and replaced by section 4022] without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- 10. "Percocet," a brand name for a drug which contains 5 mg. of oxycodone hydrochloride and 325 mg. of acetaminophen, is a dangerous drug under section 4022 and a Schedule II controlled substance under California Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(N).

- 11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Division a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 12. Section 16.01 of the Budget Act of the State of California provides, in pertinent part, that:
 - (a) No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or revocation due to disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California.
 - (b) No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical services or other invasive procedure performed on any Medi-Cal beneficiary by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any case where the board makes a determination during its disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.

25 | ,

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence; patients E.B., L.C.)

13. Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, and therefore is subject to disciplinary action, under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code in that he has committed acts of gross negligence during his care and treatment of patients. The circumstances are as follows:

Patient "E.B." (1993)

A. On or about April 19, 1993, patient E.B. presented to the California Medical Clinic. Working at the Clinic were a number of health care "practitioners," some of whom were unlicensed. During the time E.B. treated at said clinic, respondent was the only licensed physician working at that facility. E.B. had a long history of diabetes prior to coming to the Clinic, but had not seen an allopathic physician for treatment in several years. In the intervening time, she had sought "holistic" or alternative treatment.

- B. E.B. began her treatment at the Clinic with a homeopathic practitioner who was allegedly a medical doctor in Brazil but who was unlicensed in California. Said homeopathic practitioner treated E.B. for approximately one month, during which time E.B. had little contact with respondent until she developed a blister on her foot which became swollen and painful, particularly around the fourth toe.
- C. At that time, respondent was consulted, and E.B. was referred to him for further treatment regarding E.B.'s

foot. Respondent ordered a lab test, which was done on or about May 22, 1993. The results showed high levels of glucose, cholesterol, and triglycerides. Respondent opined that the problem was likely a hematoma or healing blister, and prescribed antibiotics. He also ordered X-rays, which were taken on or about May 28, 1993; the radiological report thereof showed evidence of osteomyelitis developing around the toe. Respondent then changed the prescription to Tetracycline and Vicodin.

D. E.B. continued to see the homeopathic practitioner for the next several days, during which time her foot developed a foul odor at the site of the swelling. Respondent personally did not see E.B. until on or about June 9, 1998, at which point he noted a "local infection and cellulitis" of the toe. He ordered follow-up x-rays, which were taken two days later and showed evidence that the osteomyelitis was worsening. Respondent then referred E.B. to an orthopedic surgeon who practiced at a hospital near her home.

E. E.B. went to the hospital and saw the orthopedic surgeon, who determined her foot had gangrene. He referred her to other practitioners, who first attempted to get her diabetes under control and then performed an amputation of her toe. At no time prior to this had E.B. been informed by respondent of the possibility of gangrene or the consequences of osteomyelitis.

- F. During his treatment of patient E.B., respondent committed the following acts and omissions:
 - i) He failed to properly diagnose gangrene in E.B.'s toe;

2.2

- ii) He failed to properly and aggressively treat a wound infection in a known diabetic;
- iii) He inappropriately prescribed Tetracycline to a patient with possible osteomyelitis;
- iv) He failed to treat the possible osteomyelitis in a timely and aggressive fashion, especially considering that E.B. was known to be diabetic;
- v) Although E.B. allegedly refused any treatment other than homeopathic, respondent failed to adequately inform her of the risks of delaying allopathic treatment; and
- vi) He allowed an unlicensed individual to continue to treat E.B. despite her diabetes and evidence of infection and osteomyelitis.

Patient "L.C." (1986-1991)

- G. On or about October 20, 1986, patient L.C. presented to the California Medical Clinic for the first time (although respondent had previously treated her at another location). L.C.'s complaints at this time included abdominal pain secondary to apparent endometriosis, as well as cramps and other difficulties associated with alleged early menstrual periods. Over time, respondent also treated her for alleged thyroid problems, and she sporadically complained of constant fatigue and allergies.
- H. Respondent treated L.C. through on or about August 14, 1991. During this time, respondent prescribed for her numerous drugs, including but not limited to Percocet (for pain, on a regular basis for five years in amounts ranging from 15 to 54

units), a thyroid medication (for hypothyroidism, on an irregular basis for five years), and Prednisone (for allergies and fatigue, on a regular basis during 1990 and 1991).

- I. During his treatment of L.C., respondent committed the following acts and omissions:
 - i) He failed to support or confirm the diagnoses of endometriosis, hypothyroidism, and chronic fatigue with proper examinations, including physical and laboratory evaluations, or in the alternative, failed to document same;
 - ii) He prescribed medications without supporting or confirming the relevant supporting diagnoses;
 - iii) He failed to periodically check hormone and T4 levels, or in the alternative, failed to document same, while prescribing high amounts of thyroid medication; and
 - iv) He prescribed Percocet for an excessive length of time without adequate monitoring, without attempting alternative therapies, and without addressing his alleged suspicions and concerns that "L.C." was a "drug-seeker" who was altering dosages on the prescriptions.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts; patients E.B., L.C.)

14. Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, and therefore is subject to disciplinary action, under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code in that he has committed repeated acts of negligence during his care and treatment of patients. The circumstances are as follows:

	A. Paragraph 13, subparagraphs (A)-(I) are
1	
2	incorporated by reference as if set forth at this point.
3	
4	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
5	(Incompetence; patients E.B., L.C.)
6	15. Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, and
	therefore is subject to disciplinary action, under section 2234,
8	subdivision (d), of the Code in that he has committed acts of
9	incompetence during his care and treatment of patients. The
10	circumstances are as follows:
11	A. Paragraph 13, subparagraphs (A)-(I) are
12	incorporated by reference as if set forth at this point.
13	
14	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
15	(Excessive Prescribing; patient L.C.)
16	16. Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, and
17	therefore is subject to disciplinary action, under sections 725 and
18	2234 of the Code, jointly and severally, in that he engaged in
19	excessive prescribing of patient L.C. The circumstances are as
20	follows:
21	A. Paragraph 13, subparagraphs (G)-(I) are
22	incorporated by reference as if set forth at this point.
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
25	(Inadequate Records; patients E.B., L.C.)
	17. Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, and
26	therefore is subject to disciplinary action, under section 2266 of
27	therefore is subject to disciplinary

the Code in that he failed to maintain adequate records of his care and treatment of patients. The circumstances are as follows: 2 13, subparagraphs Paragraph Α. 3 incorporated by reference as if set forth at this point. 4 5 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 6 (Aiding and Abetting Unlicensed Practice; patient E.B.) 7 Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, and 8 therefore is subject to disciplinary action, under section 2264 of the Code in that he aided and abetted an unlicensed individual's 10 practice of medicine. The circumstances are as follows: 11 Paragraph 13, subparagraphs (A)-(F) 12 incorporated by reference as if set forth at this point. 13 14 SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 15 (Prescribing without Good Faith Prior Exam, Medical Indication; 16 patient L.C.) 17 Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, and 18 therefore is subject to disciplinary action, under section 2242, 19 subdivision (a), of the Code in that he prescribed Percocet and 20 other dangerous drugs over an extended period of time without a 21 good faith prior exam or medical indication for the prescription. 22 The circumstances are as follows: 23 subparagraphs (G)-(I)Paragraph 13, 24 incorporated by reference as if set forth at this point. 25 26

1	PRAYER
2	20. For the reasons set forth in paragraphs 1 through
H	19, supra, there exists good cause to take disciplinary action
	against respondent's physician's and surgeon's certificate.
5	ugu
	WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be
6	held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing,
l	the Division issue a decision:
8	1. Imposing discipline on Physician and Surgeon's
9	Certificate Number G29690, heretofore issued to respondent Larry
10	
11	Eckstein, M.D.; 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of
12	respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants,
13	
14	pursuant to section 3527 of the Code; 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the
15	3. Ordering respondent to pay the British
16	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case
17	and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
18	4. Taking such other and further action as the Division
19	deems necessary and proper.
20	DATED: 8-11-98
21	
22	
23	for Ron Joseph / Cren'l
24	Executive Director Medical Board of California
25	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
26	Complainant
	Company of the contract of the

11.

EXHIBIT B

STATE OF COLORADO

STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Susan Miller, Program Director

1560 Broadway, Suite 1300 Denver, Colorado 80202-5146 Phone (303) 894-7690 Fax (303) 894-7692 TTY: Dial 711 for Relay Colorado www.dora.state.co.us/medical Department of Regulatory Agencies
Tambor Williams
Executive Director

Division of Registrations
Rosemary McCool
Director



Bill Owens Governor

I, Cindy Klyn, Enforcement Program Manager and Custodian of Records, do hereby certify that the attached copy of the Letter of Admonition dated August 11, 2005 and Stipulation and Final Agency Order regarding the disciplinary proceedings against the license to practice medicine of Larry Eckstein, M.D., License No. 33042, is a true and correct copy of the document on file with the Colorado State Board of Medical Examiners.

Subscribed and sworn to me this day of Nocember 200

Cindy Klyn

Enforcement Program Manager Colorado State Board of Medical Examiners 1560 Broadway, Suite 1300 Denver, CO 80202

STATE OF COLORADO

STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS
Susan Miller, Program Director

1560 Broadway, Sulte 1300 Denver, Colorado 80202-5146 Phone (303) 894-7690 Fax (303) 894-7692 TTY:Dial 711 for Relay Colorado www.dora.state.co.us/medical Department of Regulatory Agencies
Tambor Williams
Executive Directors

Division of Registrations
Rosemary McCool
Director



Bill Owens Governor

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

August 11, 2005 Case No. 2005-001568-A

Larry Eckstein, M.D. 2760 29th St., Ste. 2D Boulder, CO 80301

Dear Dr. Eckstein:

Inquiry Panel A of the Colorado Board of Medical Examiners has reviewed the status of its inquiry regarding your care and treatment of patient M.C. It was the Panel's decision not to commence with formal proceedings against your license to practice medicine. However, the Panel did vote to administer disciplinary action to you in the form of this letter of admonition.

Board records reflect that patient M.C. was under your care from 1995 until the summer of 2002, at which time the patient relocated to Virginia. However, you continued to prescribe medications, including narcotics, to the patient after she moved to Virginia and without personally seeing her for clinical follow up. The records also indicate that you had advised patient M.C. that she needed to find a local physician, which she failed to do. However, you exercised poor judgment in continuing to prescribe for her despite the fact that you were unable to provide the appropriate standard of care because she was now residing out of state.

The Panel found your care and treatment of patient M.C. fell below the generally accepted standards of practice for a family physician in the state of Colorado and constitutes a violation of section 12-36-117 of the Colorado Revised Statutes. Specifically, the Panel found your decision to continue to prescribe for patient M.C. for more than two years after she moved to Virginia and without requiring that she be physically seen for clinical follow up raised concerns regarding your judgment and professional boundaries. The Panel also finds your prescribing practices in this case were substandard. The Panel encourages you to consider additional education regarding professional boundaries and appropriate prescribing practices.

Letter to Larry Eckstein, M.D. Case No. 2005-007568-A July 21, 2005 Page two

By this letter, the Panel hereby admonishes you and cautions you that complaints disclosing any repetition of such practice may lead to the commencement of formal disciplinary proceedings against your license to practice medicine, wherein this letter of admonition may be entered into evidence as aggravation.

You are advised that it is your right to have this case reviewed by judicial procedure. To do so, you must submit a written request within twenty (20) days after receipt of this letter. In your request, you must clearly ask that formal disciplinary proceedings be initiated against you to adjudicate the propriety of the conduct upon which this letter of admonition is based. If such request is timely made, this letter of admonition will be deemed vacated, and the matter will be processed by means of a formal complaint and hearing. This is in accordance with the provisions of the Medical Practice Act governing the discipline of licensed physicians.

with you was the containers along the training of the training of the containing of the

Very truly yours,

FOR THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS INQUIRY PANEL A

Patrick O. Faricy, M.D.

Chair

POF/de

xc: Jonathan L, Miller, Esq.